

Volume 8, Issue 1, April 2026 p. 138-161

Istanbul / Türkiye

Article Information

✍ Article Type: Research Article

✍ This article has been checked for similarity.

This is an open access article under the CC-BY-NC licence

Article History:

Received

29/12/2025

Received in

revised form

05/03/2026

Accepted

30/04/2026



HER PAIN, OUR ENTERTAINMENT: CULTURAL OBSESSION WITH FEMALE TRAUMA

Safaa El Kaleh¹

Abstract

This study examines the cultural fascination with women's suffering and investigates how it is aestheticized, commodified, and circulated across literature, visual art, and media. Drawing on Laura Mulvey's concept of the male gaze, Judith Butler's theory of gender performativity, and bell hooks's critique of marginalization, the study employs a qualitative textual and cultural analysis of canonical works by Sylvia Plath, Frida Kahlo, and Ernest Hemingway alongside contemporary media representations. The findings suggest that female suffering often acquires cultural visibility and value when rendered aesthetically appealing and commercially consumable, whereas male suffering is more frequently associated with symbolic authority and existential significance. These patterns reveal the persistence of gendered hierarchies in the representation and circulation of pain. The study argues for a critical re-evaluation of trauma as a political and social condition rather than a consumable cultural spectacle, highlighting the ways aestheticized narratives contribute to the maintenance of existing power structures.

Keywords: Aestheticization, Bell hooks, Commodification, Female suffering, Gender norms, Male gaze, Trauma.

¹ Independent Researcher , University of Moulay Tahar, Algeria, elkalehsafaa@gmail.com. ORCID: 0009-0007-7525-7467

Introduction

For centuries, women's suffering has been framed not only as hardship to be alleviated but as a spectacle to be admired. Cultural narratives have repeatedly transformed women's pain into something magnetic, tragic, fragile, and even beautiful. Female figures who withstood despair, sometimes to the point of self-destruction, were elevated as icons, valued not for their lives but for the way their torment was perceived. This fascination lies in the paradox of losing oneself completely while remaining aesthetically captivating, a pattern that renders devastation not as crisis but as spectacle. Such representations feed into a broader tradition that measures women's worth through their capacity to endure. Even seemingly empowering slogans, such as the Zulu phrase "Wathint'umfazi, wathint'imbokodo" ("you strike a woman, you strike a rock"), fortify the idea that women are destined for suffering, normalizing violence by presenting durability as their natural role. Across these contexts, suffering ceases to be private anguish; it becomes performed, circulated, consumed, and at times, envied.

Women's discomfort is repeatedly romanticized as desirable, whereas men's suffering is often represented as heroic perseverance, intellectual struggle, or artistic genius. Depression, eating disorders, and destructive relationships are frequently reinterpreted not as urgent crises but as aesthetic markers of femininity. These patterns operate within the structures of patriarchal capitalism, where women's suffering is both culturally consumed and economically valorized, reinforcing gendered hierarchies in visibility, valuation, and marketability.

To systematically examine these dynamics, this study positions itself at the intersection of literature, media studies, and feminist theory, investigating how cultural narratives shape the representation and reception of female trauma. The objectives are threefold: first, to trace the persistence of the tragic heroine trope and its continuation in the contemporary "sad girl" aesthetic; second, to analyze how women's pain is commodified and spectacularized across cultural forms; and third, to compare these portrayals with male narratives of suffering, which are more often dignified than aestheticized. From these aims arise the central research questions:

1. How is women's suffering aestheticized and commodified across literature, film, and popular culture, and what are the cultural implications of these representations?
2. How do representations of male suffering differ from representations of female suffering in terms of symbolic authority, cultural value, visibility, and dignity?
3. In what ways does the commodification of women's suffering operate as a cultural and economic mechanism, and how does it contribute to the marketability of trauma?

Methodologically, the study adopts a qualitative literary-cultural analysis grounded in feminist and gender theory. Laura Mulvey's concept of the male gaze is used to explore women's suffering as spectacle, Bell Hooks' intersectional feminism highlights the politics of whose pain is glorified and whose is erased, and Judith Butler's notion of gender performativity reveals how suffering is differently scripted across genders. These frameworks will be applied to case studies ranging from literary reception and music aesthetics to contemporary television, with comparative reflections on male portrayals of anguish in literature and art.

The selection of contemporary media examples was guided by three criteria: (1) cultural prominence and measurable circulation within global media platforms; (2) explicit thematic engagement with female vulnerability or trauma; and (3) demonstrable participation in aesthetic or commercial economies of affect.

The scope of this article is limited to English-language texts and media from the twentieth century onwards, with selective reference to earlier traditions that shaped modern narratives. The study does not seek to provide an exhaustive history of women's suffering but rather to examine its cultural framing and implications. Ultimately, the article argues that the aestheticization of women's trauma functions less as empathy than as a mechanism of power. By reimagining pain as spectacle, culture sustains patriarchal scripts that shape how females are seen, how they perceive themselves, and how hardships become a performance rather than an experience. In exposing these dynamics, this study aims to challenge the normalization of "beautiful pain" and to encourage feminist reimaginings

of suffering that resist performance and reclaim narratives of endurance and rebellion.

Literature Review

The Glorification of Female Suffering

Edgar Allan Poe once wrote, “The death of a beautiful woman is unquestionably the most poetical topic in the world” (Poe, 1846, p. 165). This claim, often quoted in discussions of gender and aesthetics, reflects more than the personal sensibility of a single writer. It crystallizes a long-standing cultural pattern in which women’s hurt, woe, and even death are not confronted as urgent realities but reimaged as images of beauty to be admired. Across centuries of literature, painting, and narrative art, women’s sorrow has been staged and romanticized, polished into a show that fascinates precisely because it conceals the disruptive rawness of anguish. Within this framework, women’s pain is rarely portrayed as chaotic or destabilizing; instead, it is recast as feeble, tragic, or morally redemptive, ever present in cultural memory because it has been aestheticized into something “pretty.”

The concept of glorified suffering refers to this process. What draws attention is not trauma itself but its transformation into a consumable image that circulates across texts, canvases, and digital platforms (Gill & Orgad, 2021; Sandler, 2021). As Showalter (1987) observes in her work on hysteria, suffering is rarely shown as disorderly or disruptive; rather, it is reframed as performance, a marker of femininity and, at times, even cultural capital. Importantly, this pattern extends beyond representation into lived experience. Global health research consistently demonstrates gendered disparities in suffering: women are nearly twice as likely as men to experience depression, with prevalence ratios of roughly 2:1 (Salk et al., 2017) , and eating disorders are likewise more common among women (Galmiche et al., 2019).

Rather than prompting social intervention, this aestheticization reframes agony as a performative marker of femininity. Melancholia, self-destruction, and emotional turmoil are repeatedly romanticized as signs of authenticity, sensitivity, or artistic depth (Taylor, 2023; Hartman, 2022). This paradox, that women’s pain functions simultaneously as recognition and constraint defines the terrain in which glorification operates.

Collectively, these sources demonstrate a persistent cultural fascination with female suffering, revealing patterns of aestheticization across literature, art, and media. Poe's early literary framing, Showalter's analysis of hysteria, and contemporary studies by Gill and Orgad and Sandler highlight how women's pain is stylized and circulated rather than confronted as a crisis. Despite this extensive discussion, existing scholarship often treats historical and contemporary examples separately and rarely interrogates the mechanisms by which these representations are commodified within patriarchal capitalist structures. This study addresses this gap by linking the glorification of female trauma across historical and contemporary contexts while examining its economic and cultural valorization.

The Tragic Heroine Trope

Within literary and artistic traditions, the tragic heroine emerges as a recurrent figure through which societies negotiate anxieties regarding gender, morality, and authority. Unlike Aristotle's tragic hero, whose downfall stems from personal flaw or hubris, the tragic heroine is typically undone by external pressures: betrayal, repression, or gendered expectation (Boas, 1955; Sandler, 2021). Her fate is therefore culturally encoded, refracted through scripts that simultaneously elevate her distress into symbolic meaning and expose contradictions inherent in patriarchal notions of femininity. The tragic heroine operates less as a character and more as a cultural device, reflecting societal preoccupations with the containment and aestheticization of female pain.

Classical literature established the foundational contours of this trope. In Sophocles' *Antigone*, resistance to Creon's law renders her death inevitable, transforming her into a moral emblem of defiance. Euripides' *Medea* complicates the paradigm: her suffering, catalyzed by Jason's betrayal, is weaponized into acts of vengeance, illustrating the complex interplay between victimhood and agency (Euripides, ca. 431 BCE). Across these early examples, the tragic heroine demonstrates that female suffering can function both as cultural critique and symbolic reinforcement of social order.

The Romantic and Victorian eras intensified the aestheticization of female distress. In Keats's *La Belle Dame sans Merci* (1819), the female figure

embodies fatal allure, while John Everett Millais's painting *Ophelia* (1851–52) translates Shakespeare's heroine into a visual icon of consumable tragedy. Charlotte Brontë's *Jane Eyre* (1847) complicates expectations: Jane endures hardship and social constraint, yet asserts her agency, suggesting that endurance and survival can coexist with the symbolic coding of suffering (Sandler, 2021). Similarly, Charcot's late nineteenth-century photographs of hysterical patients at the Salpêtrière illustrate the dual function of female agony as both scientific authority and aesthetic spectacle (Showalter, 1987).

Significantly, the tragic heroine transcends Western literary canons. In Arabic and Persian narrative traditions, such as *Layla and Majnun*, Layla's suffering is sanctified as emblematic of eternal love. In twentieth-century Egypt, Oum Kalthoum's musical performances aestheticize collective feminine heartbreak, demonstrating continuity in the symbolic economy of female distress across time and cultures. These examples highlight that while formal expressions Greek theatre, Victorian painting, epic poetry, and modern music evolve, the underlying cultural valuation of female suffering as meaningful or sublime remains consistent.

Feminist literary scholarship illuminates the ideological stakes of this tradition. Showalter's *The Female Malady* (1987) demonstrates how women's psychological distress was recoded as poetic rather than pathological, transforming breakdown into cultural spectacle. Gilbert and Gubar's *The Madwoman in the Attic* (1979) identifies the binary of the silent "angel" and the destructive "madwoman," showing how female characters are confined to roles defined by suffering. Audience reception reinforces this framework: whereas Aristotle's conception of tragedy produces catharsis through pity and fear, feminist analysis reveals that the aestheticization of female suffering often enables enjoyment of her destruction rather than empathetic understanding.

Contemporary reinterpretations negotiate this tradition critically. Anne Carson's *Antigonick* (2012) reimagines Antigone as radical defiance, Margaret Atwood's *The Penelopiad* (2005) restores the voices of Penelope and her maids, and Greta Gerwig's *Little Women* (2019) stages grief in stark realism rather than romanticizing Beth March's death. These works both resist and acknowledge the trope, revealing its persistence and the

continued necessity for contemporary artists to engage with a long-standing cultural script in order to subvert it.

The Sad Girl Aesthetic

The tragic heroine of classical literature has found her contemporary reincarnation in what scholars describe as the “sad girl aesthetic.” Where Ophelia epitomized fragile melancholy on the Elizabethan stage and Millais’s canvas, twenty-first-century digital landscapes Tumblr, TikTok, and Spotify serve as arenas in which female sorrow is curated, stylized, and circulated. This continuity underscores a persistent cultural fascination: female melancholy is rendered both visible and performatively structured, circulating as affective expression, identity construction, and consumable content (Gill & Orgad, 2021; Sandler, 2021; Hartman, 2022).

At its core, the aesthetic valorizes depression, relational fragility, and self-stylized vulnerability, transforming private distress into public performance. Early Tumblr communities illustrate this process, juxtaposing decontextualized Sylvia Plath quotations with monochromatic imagery, thereby repurposing emotional crises as markers of authenticity. While this curation grants visibility to otherwise silenced experiences, it simultaneously codifies pain into a consumable aesthetic, highlighting the tension between empowerment and commodification.

Music functions as a primary vector for these dynamics. Lana Del Rey, for instance, constructs a persona in which sorrow is simultaneously glamorous and marketable. Lyrics in *Ultraviolence* (2014) and *Venice Bitch* (2018) stylize grief, providing a performative script for audiences. Neuroscientific research demonstrates that exposure to emotionally salient, music engages the auditory cortex, limbic system, and prefrontal networks, suggesting that aestheticized melancholy is experienced both cognitively and affectively (Koelsch, 2014; Juslin & Västfjäll, 2008). Such engagement underscores the interplay between cultural scripting and embodied affect in the formation of identity through curated sadness.

Visual media further mediates the aestheticization and commodification of female suffering. Darren Aronofsky’s *Black Swan* (2010) choreographs Nina’s psychological collapse into a visually compelling narrative, while contemporary series such as *Euphoria* (HBO, 2019) stage adolescent trauma

with stylized cinematography. These examples demonstrate the ways in which suffering is turned into a visual commodity, consumed aesthetically and incorporated into commercial frameworks (Hartman, 2022). Social media trends, such as TikTok's "crying makeup," extend this logic, teaching performative expressions of anguish while linking emotional display to visibility, engagement, and monetization.

The aestheticization of grief exhibits pronounced gender asymmetries. The so-called "sad boy aesthetic," exemplified by artists such as Drake, Kurt Cobain, and The Weeknd, frames male vulnerability as authenticity, creativity, and charisma. By contrast, female sorrow is frequently coded as fragility or performative spectacle. This divergence highlights a *symbolic economy in which male suffering accrues cultural authority*, whereas female suffering is commodified as consumable identity, generating revenue for streaming platforms, music labels, fashion brands, and social media ecosystems (Gill & Orgad, 2021).

Scholarly discourse historically contextualizes these dynamics across literature, visual media, and digital platforms. Classical theories (Aristotle; Boas, 1955) positioned tragedy as moral spectacle, while feminist scholarship (Showalter, 1977; Gilbert & Gubar, 1979) critiques the patriarchal scripting of female suffering. Contemporary analyses foreground persistence in digital contexts, emphasizing affective circulation and the economic dimensions of aestheticized pain (Sandler, 2021; Hartman, 2022). Yet critical gaps remain: few studies interrogate how female creators *actively participate in, resist, or reframe* the sad girl aesthetic, and comparative examinations of male and female suffering remain limited. Furthermore, the specific mechanisms of commodification platform algorithms, fashion and beauty industries, and media marketing require further interrogation to reveal the full scope of pain as marketable cultural capital.

By addressing these gaps, this study moves beyond descriptive cataloging to critically examine *how authorship, gender, and platform-specific cultures sustain, challenge, and reconfigure narratives of female suffering*, rendering it simultaneously hypervisible, performative, and economically productive. This approach highlights the ongoing negotiation between aestheticization, commodification, and feminist critique, demonstrating how contemporary

digital culture both inherits and transforms longstanding scripts of gendered pain.

Theoretical Framework

The analysis of the aestheticization of women's trauma requires a framework capable of addressing its multiple cultural, visual, and ideological dimensions. Because no single theoretical model can capture the complexity of how female suffering is represented, circulated, and consumed, this study draws on three interrelated approaches : Laura Mulvey's male gaze, bell hooks's intersectional feminism, and Judith Butler's gender performativity. Together, these frameworks illuminate how women's pain becomes visible, stylized, and socially intelligible within systems of power that operate.

The Male Gaze

Laura Mulvey's concept of the male gaze, articulated in *Visual Pleasure and Narrative Cinema* (1975), remains foundational for analyzing the visual and narrative construction of women as objects of desire. Drawing on Freudian scopophilia and Lacanian psychoanalysis, Mulvey contended that classical Hollywood cinema positions women as passive objects, while men assume the role of active spectators and narrative agents. The cinematic apparatus, in this sense, naturalizes patriarchal power by aligning the viewer with the male subject who "possesses" the female image through the act of looking.

Early applications of Mulvey's theory centered on Hitchcockian cinema. *Rear Window* (1954) exemplifies voyeuristic surveillance, wherein the male protagonist and by extension, the audience observes women from a position of power. *Vertigo* (1958) dramatizes the male impulse to reconstruct and control the female body and identity. These studies established that the male gaze shapes not only the representation of women but also the very mechanics of classical narrative cinema.

Beyond film, the male gaze operates across diverse media. Advertising frequently fragments and sexualizes women's bodies, as highlighted in Jean Kilbourne's *Killing Us Softly* series. Music videos of the late twentieth century, particularly within pop and hip-hop culture, reproduce similar gendered hierarchies through choreography, costuming, and camera work.

Early video games, such as *Tomb Raider* (1996), rendered female protagonists with exaggerated sexualized forms designed to gratify male fantasy.

Contemporary media further complicates the gaze. Online pornography often stages female pleasure primarily for male consumption, whereas feminist filmmakers such as Erika Lust attempt to construct a reciprocal, female-centered gaze. Social media platforms, from Instagram to TikTok, operate as both sites of performance and potential reclamation, allowing women to negotiate visibility and resist objectification, for example through body-positivity or queer self-representations.

While the male gaze remains a powerful analytic lens, it is not without limitations. Mulvey's model presumes a universal male subject and female object, which risks erasing female spectatorship, queer viewing practices, and the divergent experiences of women across race, class, and sexuality. Alternative conceptualizations have emerged: bell hooks's oppositional gaze centers Black female spectatorship; Bracha Ettinger's matrixial gaze foregrounds relationality and empathy; and Jill Soloway's articulation of the female gaze emphasizes embodied, ethical modes of looking. These expansions underscore the necessity of situating visual pleasure within intersecting hierarchies of power and social identity.

Intersectional Feminism

Bell hooks's interventions in feminist theory illuminate how gender alone cannot account for the differential visibility of women's suffering. Developed in response to mainstream feminism's tendency to universalize women's experiences, intersectional approaches foreground race, class, sexuality, and other axes of identity. While Kimberlé Crenshaw formally coined "intersectionality" in 1989, hooks's work in *Ain't I a Woman? Black Women and Feminism* (1981) and *Feminist Theory: From Margin to Center* (1984) already articulated how feminism centered on white, middle-class women systematically marginalized women of color and those at the socio-economic peripheries. For hooks, the feminist project entails dismantling interlocking systems of oppression, including patriarchy, white supremacy, capitalism, and heteronormativity.

Applied to literature and media, hooks's framework clarifies which narratives of suffering are amplified and which are suppressed. Barbara Smith's *All the Women Are White, All the Blacks Are Men, But Some of Us*

Are Brave (1982) exposed the erasure of Black women in both feminist and Black literary criticism. Subsequent studies comparing the reception of Sylvia Plath and Anne Sexton with that of Audre Lorde and Gloria Anzaldúa reveal a cultural hierarchy in which white women's anguish is canonized as "universal," while the trauma of marginalized women is frequently rendered invisible (Christian, 1987; Moraga & Anzaldúa, 1981).

Hooks's concept of the oppositional gaze further demonstrates how marginalized women negotiate visibility and representation. By critically looking back at dominant cultural frameworks, women of color enact resistance against the white, patriarchal gaze (hooks, 1992). Scholars such as Collins (2000) and Nash (2008) have shown that media often reproduces racialized hierarchies of suffering: some lives are hypervisible, yet filtered through a lens that serves dominant power structures, while others remain obscured. Intersectional analysis thus enables a nuanced understanding of both the aestheticization and the erasure of women's pain, particularly in autobiographical and trauma-centered narratives (Caruth, 1996; Gilmore, 2001).

In synthesizing with Mulvey, Hooks allows us to discern how the male gaze codifies certain women's suffering into spectacle while simultaneously silencing others. The intersectional lens exposes the selective mechanisms that determine whose distress is acknowledged culturally and whose is relegated to invisibility. This interplay situates aestheticized trauma within broader hierarchies of power, revealing how social structures shape both representation and reception.

Gender Performativity

Judith Butler's theory of gender performativity, introduced in *Gender Trouble* (1990), asserts that gender is not a fixed or innate category but a set of socially regulated performances. Behaviors, gestures, and societal expectations constitute gendered identity, producing norms that govern both social recognition and interpersonal interaction (Butler, 1990; 1993).

Applied to the study of women's suffering, Butler's framework illuminates how trauma itself is performatively codified. Whereas male suffering is frequently represented as heroic, rational, or dignified, female pain is culturally staged, aestheticized, and often consumed as spectacle. Recent analyses demonstrate this dynamic across media: Megan Trainor's *Dear*

Future Husband (2024) both reinforces and subverts conventional femininity, while Barbie (2023) (Abdelwahed, 2025) depicts gender identity as performed and socially regulated, including the ways emotional labor and vulnerability are gendered.

Butler's insights intersect powerfully with both Mulvey and hooks. The male gaze structures the visual consumption of female pain; intersectionality identifies whose pain is rendered visible or erased; and performativity clarifies how these representations are repeatedly enacted and normalized. Together, these frameworks reveal that women's trauma is not simply depicted—it is produced and sustained through repeated social, cultural, and aesthetic performances, which are both codified by gender norms and modulated by race, class, and sexuality.

By integrating Mulvey, hooks, and Butler, this study illuminates the mechanisms through which women's suffering is aestheticized, normalized, and socially intelligible. This approach emphasizes that visibility is not neutral: it is regulated by intersecting hierarchies of power and by culturally sanctioned performances of gender. Through this synthesis, the research demonstrates that the aestheticization of women's trauma is simultaneously a matter of representation, social expectation, and cultural inscription, making it intelligible, performable, and enduring within the broader field of cultural production.

Analysis

Sylvia Plath: Performing Pain, Exposing the Spectator

Sylvia Plath does not merely inhabit despair; she curates it, transforming intimate anguish into a meticulously staged performance. Her significance lies not in suffering alone but in the conscious orchestration of her pain, rendering it simultaneously visible, aestheticized, and socially interrogable. In Plath's work, anguish is a lens through which readers are implicated as spectators, illustrating how trauma can be performed, consumed, and resisted.

In *Lady Lazarus*, Plath declares: "Dying / Is an art, like everything else. / I do it exceptionally well" (1965, ll. 43–45). The enjambment falters as if breath itself were constrained, while the term art elevates self-destruction to a public spectacle. Mulvey's (1975) concept of the female body as a site of visual consumption resonates here: Plath positions herself as a deliberate

object of scrutiny. Yet, unlike traditional passive objectification, she manipulates and mocks the gaze, converting vulnerability into ironic mastery. Her audience is not just observing; they are implicated, forced to confront their role in the consumption of female suffering.

The grotesque visibility of the audience intensifies this critique: “peanut-crunching crowd / That shoves in to see” (1965, ll. 26–27). Readers recognize themselves within the crowd, and the voyeuristic gaze is no longer abstract, it is exposed, ridiculed, and destabilized. The poem culminates in defiance: “I eat men like air” (1965, l. 84), inverting the traditional muse-object dynamic and transforming fragility into strategic aggression. Plath stages the paradox of female suffering: it is both spectacle and agency, vulnerability and power.

In *Daddy*, Plath collapses personal trauma and political allegory: “Every woman adores a Fascist, / The boot in the face” (1965, p. 50). Digital culture has flattened such lines into performative “sad girl” aesthetics, yet the poem enacts a hyperbolic performance of gendered submission, equating patriarchal authority with authoritarian oppression. Here, Butler’s (1990) theory of gender performativity illuminates Plath’s strategy: by enacting the roles of daughter, wife, and subordinate to grotesque extremes, she reveals gender as a constructed, performative series of acts, rather than innate identity. Plath’s work thus exposes the artifice of cultural expectations, interrogating how femininity itself is scripted, policed, and aestheticized.

Tulips continues this interrogation, presenting suffering as corporeal, intrusive, and resistant to prettification. The speaker compares herself to “a thirty-year-old cargo boat / stubbornly hanging on” (1965, p. 18), conveying the physicality and labor of enduring trauma. The tulips, “too red” and “sudden,” rupture the sterile whiteness of her hospital room, pain is neither subdued nor decorative; it demands attention, disrupting the social expectation that female suffering should be ethereal or passive. Mulvey’s gaze intersects here with hooks’s framework: while Plath’s suffering is visible and consumable, it also exposes the limitations of a gaze conditioned by race and cultural privilege, inviting reflection on whose pain is centered and whose remains peripheral.

Reception history further complicates this landscape. Women readers have long sanctified Plath as martyr: Van Duyne notes, “At night, alone, I read Sylvia Plath until my eyes closed... Plath’s life story burned itself onto my heart” (2021). A 2022 survey ranked her as the top poet among young writers (TES, 2022), while online communities enshrine her as the archetypal “sad girl.” Male critics, in contrast, pathologized her work: Hughes’s destruction of her journals was excused as stoic endurance (Culture Affinity, 2019), while Plath herself was labeled unstable. The so-called “Sylvia Plath Effect” (Strike Magazine, 2019) codified a stereotype linking female creativity to fragility and doom, demonstrating how gendered narratives of pain are socially constructed, circulated, and policed.

Plath’s confessions are rigorously staged, not spontaneous; the intimacy they offer both draws in and critiques the audience. Her manipulation of performance aligns with Butler’s insight into the repeated enactment of gender norms and Mulvey’s male gaze, while also resonating with hooks’s intervention: the canon valorizes Plath’s pain, rendering it visible and consumable, whereas the suffering of women marginalized by race, class, or sexuality often remains obscured. Plath’s poetry, therefore, not only exposes the mechanics of aestheticized female suffering but also interrogates which forms of women’s pain are socially sanctioned and which are rendered invisible.

Ultimately, Plath functions as both subject and critic of the “sad girl” aesthetic. Her work destabilizes traditional binaries, fragility and aggression, performance and authenticity, visibility and erasure and forces readers to confront the ethical and social dimensions of witnessing trauma. By combining Mulvey’s gaze, Butler’s performativity, and hooks’s intersectional lens, her oeuvre demonstrates how female suffering is staged, consumed, and culturally codified, while simultaneously resisting simplistic categorization, reclaiming agency, and interrogating the very mechanisms of its own visibility.

Frida Kahlo: Painting Pain, Confronting the Gaze

If Sylvia Plath staged suffering in language, Frida Kahlo staged it in flesh. Both expose the paradox that women’s wounds only become culturally legible when stylized and once stylized, they risk consumption. Where

Plath ironized this dynamic through words, Kahlo literalized it on canvas, painting her own body as battleground.

In *The Broken Column* (1944), Kahlo splits her torso with a fractured Ionic pillar, pierced with nails, her face streaked with tears. Yet her gaze is unwavering, returning the viewer's look. Mulvey's (1975) theory of "to-be-looked-at-ness" resonates here: the semi-nude body is displayed, yet Kahlo refuses passivity. As Aridjis observed, she "painted herself not as a victim but as a witness to her own endurance" (Tate Modern, 2019). The image collapses fragility into confrontation a spectacle that stares back.

Henry Ford Hospital (1932) renders miscarriage with brutal clarity: blood pools, the body is tethered to fetus, snail, and surgical instruments. The scene is testimony without euphemism. In its original context, it resists aestheticization; yet once circulated, its rawness is stylized into "tragic chic," transformed into haunting beauty against its will. Pain becomes consumable even when meant as disruption.

The ambivalence peaks in *The Two Fridas* (1939). Two figures sit hand in hand: one heart torn open, staining her white dress with blood; the other intact, tethered to Diego Rivera. The tableau is undeniably beautiful, yet that beauty emerges from devastation. Kahlo multiplies herself to resist singular definition, but the framing remains: spectators are transfixed by stylized rupture, by bleeding hearts that seduce as much as they testify.

The afterlife of these images reveals the trap. Kahlo's wounds circulate on calendars, tote bags, and Instagram feeds. Ankori (2002) is blunt: Kahlo has become "a brand name." Her trauma is commodified as décor, marketed as feminist chic. Women participate too, often through flower-crown selfies and TikTok edits that aestheticize solidarity into style. As Burman notes, Kahlo has become "a mirror for every woman who has been told her pain is too much" (2019). Male critics, by contrast, often dismissed her as melodramatic or subordinated her to Rivera, trivializing rather than glamorizing. Both responses deny Kahlo full authority over her pain.

Kahlo anticipated this double bind. The corsets, nails, and bleeding hearts were deliberate semiotic weapons, painted to resist erasure. Yet once released, those symbols were absorbed as decoration, emptied of their defiance. The irony is devastating: she aestheticized wounds to make them speak, only for culture to aestheticize them into silence.

Placed beside Plath, the cycle becomes inescapable. Women's suffering must be stylized to be heard, but stylization itself invites commodification. Both Kahlo and Plath embed resistance Plath through theatrical performance, Kahlo through visual iconography yet both expose the same cultural economy: a system that thrives on transmuting anguish into currency.

Intersectional Perspectives: Whose Pain is Recognized?

Kahlo's work also foregrounds a larger question: whose pain is made visible, and whose is silenced? Not all suffering circulates with equal weight in cultural economies. White male anguish ;Van Gogh's mutilated ear, Hemingway's stoic grief is canonized as eccentric genius. White female anguish ; Plath's gas oven, Kahlo's corseted spine is aestheticized as tragic chic. By contrast, the suffering of Black, Arab, Asian, and queer women is frequently exoticized, commodified, or erased.

Black women's suffering, as hooks (1981) argues, has been normalized as background noise, folded into survival and labor rather than elevated as cultural capital. Toni Morrison's *Beloved* (1987) dramatizes this absence: Sethe's act of infanticide is represented not as "beautiful ruin" but as an unspeakable necessity born of slavery's terror. Billie Holiday's *Strange Fruit* (1939) illustrates a similar paradox. Lynching enters cultural memory only when voiced through haunting song, but the aesthetic power of Holiday's performance risks eclipsing the horror of "Black bodies swinging in the Southern breeze."

Arab women's pain is often staged for external consumption. Abu-Lughod (2002) observes that the narrative of "saving Muslim women" transformed their suffering into proof of cultural backwardness, aestheticizing subjugation as spectacle. Nawal El Saadawi's *Woman at Point Zero* (1975) dramatizes this trap: Firdaus's testimony is legible to Western readers as shocking "Arab female tragedy," while her systemic critiques of patriarchy and state violence are flattened into exotic horror.

Asian women's anguish is similarly trapped in tropes. Maxine Hong Kingston's *The Woman Warrior* (1976) highlights silence itself as trauma, as her mother's command "Don't tell anyone what I told you" (p. 5) embodies the intergenerational repression of immigrant women's pain. When Asian women's suffering does surface, it is often commodified

through orientalist imagery, from the sexualization of “comfort women” to the Western fetishization of the “tragic geisha.”

Queer women face asymmetrical visibility: their pain is spectacularized when it serves heterosexual catharsis, erased when it resists it. The “Bury Your Gays” trope ensures lesbian characters die to generate tragedy for straight audiences. Lorde’s assertion that “Your silence will not protect you” (1984, p. 41) reframes survival itself as resistance. Jeanette Winterson’s *Oranges Are Not the Only Fruit* (1985) similarly refuses the tragic script, refusing to frame lesbian identity as ruin. Yet queer desire is often sanitized: Dickinson’s letters to Sue Gilbert “Sue — forevermore!” and the erotic charge of “Wild nights – Wild nights! / Were I with thee” (Poem 269, 1861) have been domesticated into eccentric devotion, erasing the queer intimacy that animated her work.

Judith Butler’s (2004) concept of “ungrievable lives” makes these asymmetries visible: some losses are systematically denied recognition. Hooks reminds us that normalization itself is a form of violence. Male stoicism is exalted; women’s anguish, particularly when racialized or queer, is either erased or marketed. Across these frames, pain is emptied of its structural origins and repackaged as style, functioning less as testimony of injustice than as a commodity in the cultural marketplace.

Male vs. Female Suffering

Men and women are never granted equal interpretive space within cultural or literary economies. The most glaring asymmetry lies in the epistemological frameworks through which pain is interpreted, legitimized, and circulated. In the modern canon, male suffering is not merely acknowledged it is mythologized. Hemingway epitomizes this dynamic: his prose converts vulnerability into a stylized enactment of masculine authority. Through Butler’s (1990) theory of gender performativity, the Hemingway figure emerges not as a man enduring pain, but as one constructing manhood through a ritualized grammar of restraint.

In *A Farewell to Arms* (1929), Frederic Henry’s dictum “The world breaks everyone and afterward many are strong at the broken places” (p. 216) has achieved the status of universal wisdom. Yet its authority is inseparable from the gendered locus of its voice. Articulated through a female subject-position, it would almost certainly be dismissed as sentimental,

overwrought, or confessional. Spoken by a man, it becomes stoic philosophy. Hemingway's biography : alcoholism, depression, suicide is likewise subsumed into the mythology of masculine genius. His wounds are reframed not as evidence of psychic collapse but as the crucible from which artistic gravitas is forged. Male suffering produces legend; female suffering produces diagnosis.

Henry's farewell to Catherine Barkley crystallizes this aesthetic logic. Describing her death "like saying good-bye to a statue" (p. 332), he renders devastation through sculptural stillness, a carefully carved posture of emotional control. Santiago in *The Old Man and the Sea* (1952) extends the ethic: "Pain does not matter to a man" (p. 84). This is less a claim about sensation than a declaration of identity , masculinity constituted through the disciplined management of affect. Hemingway's "iceberg theory" thus operates as a gendered ethic: depth is measured through what a man withholds.

Hollywood reproduces this dramaturgy with near-ritualistic fidelity. In *Good Will Hunting* (1997), male breakdown is permitted only at the sanctioned narrative apex, framed not as fragility but as masculine catharsis. War films such as *Saving Private Ryan* (1998) and *Gladiator* (2000) deploy the same architecture: men may weep briefly, privately, or triumphantly, but never indulgently. Male emotion must remain submerged , registered but not dwelled upon, displayed only to authenticate integrity.

Crucially, what is celebrated in men as psychological depth is psychiatrized in women as instability or excess. A woman writing in Hemingway's pared style is cast as affectless or inadequate; a man doing the same is hailed as minimalist, modern, and masterful. The asymmetry is structural. Hemingway's mythology reveals that male vulnerability accumulates symbolic capital: his fracture becomes credential, his despair becomes evidence of existential authority.

Viewed through Butler's framework, masculinity emerges not as innate essence but as a stylized ideological project secured through silence, stoicism, and strategically curated fracture. Pain becomes a proving ground. Male anguish is transmuted into narrative prestige; female anguish is trivialized, sensationalized, or pathologized. The result is a cultural economy in which male suffering is canonized as genius, while women's

pain however profound is rendered excessive, unstable, or dangerously legible.

Contemporary Commodification

As analyzed in preceding sections, the circulation of women's pain in contemporary cultural economies extends beyond representation; it is curated, stylized, and strategically engineered for consumption. Emotional suffering becomes a measurable commodity, its visibility conditioned by the demands of platforms, markets, and audiences. What emerges is a system in which female anguish is not simply expressed but formatted for circulation, operating within an attention economy that transforms vulnerability into profitable spectacle.

Billie Eilish's oeuvre exemplifies this dynamic. In *Happier Than Ever* (2021), the ostensibly private declaration "When I'm away from you, I'm happier than ever" (ll. 1–2) — acquires an aesthetic force shaped by minimalist production and tightly controlled visual identity. The subdued palette, hushed vocals, and somber cinematography function not only as expressive tools but as components of a coherent affective brand. Vulnerability becomes both the material and the mechanism of Eilish's cultural currency. Through Mulvey's (1975) framework, her affective display operates within a visual regime that converts emotional intensity into circulable imagery, producing intimacy that is simultaneously authentic and market-ready.

A similar logic governs Rupi Kaur's *Rupture* (2016). Her compressed, typographically sparse verses "i want to apologize to all the women / i have called pretty / before calling them intelligent or brave" (p. 14) offer feminist critique through minimalist confession. Yet the poem's form is inseparable from its digital afterlife. The lowercase typography, abundant white space, and fragmentary structure facilitate instantaneous extraction and reposting, enabling the poem to thrive within algorithmic ecosystems. Kaur's vulnerability becomes culturally legible precisely because it is optimized for circulation, functioning as modular content that can be shared, saved, commodified, and endlessly reproduced.

Across these cases, a structural pattern emerges: women's emotional disclosures are routinely transformed into marketable affect, producing

visibility and revenue while simultaneously reinforcing gendered expectations of accessibility and emotional exposure. Female suffering becomes a tradable asset whose value depends on its aesthetic malleability. In contrast, male anguish as exemplified by Hemingway accrues symbolic capital, reinforcing myths of artistic depth and existential gravitas rather than generating direct commercial profit.

The asymmetry reveals a deeper cultural logic. While men's vulnerability is elevated as genius, women's vulnerability is validated only when it is legible, palatable, and commercially viable. Butler's (2004) notion of differential grievability clarifies the dynamic: pain becomes publicly acknowledged only when it conforms to structures capable of exploiting it. Female suffering is therefore not merely recognized; it is regulated, rendered acceptable through aestheticization and profitable through commodification.

Thus, in contemporary culture, women are permitted to exhibit distress but only in forms that sustain the economies that consume it. Their pain must be visually coherent, emotionally digestible, and instrumentally productive. This conversion of anguish into consumable affect constitutes one of the most pervasive modern mechanisms through which gendered suffering is not only represented but monetized.

Conclusion

This study has demonstrated that the cultural treatment of suffering is neither neutral nor evenly distributed. Across literary, visual, and digital spheres, women's pain is routinely curated, stylized, and repurposed, while men's distress is intellectualized, valorized, or granted existential authority. Such divergent treatments expose a gendered economy of affect in which emotion is not simply expressed but regulated, classified, and assigned cultural value.

Three central insights emerge from this inquiry. First, contemporary culture has not abandoned the figure of the wounded woman; rather, it has modernized her. From the tragic heroine to the "sad girl" aesthetic, female vulnerability continues to function as a recognizable cultural script—one that renders suffering visible only when it is aesthetically legible. Second, the circulation of women's trauma across platforms reveals its economic utility. Female anguish becomes extractable content: stylized, shareable, and profitable. Third, the contrast with male narratives of suffering clarifies

how cultural systems grant symbolic authority to masculine pain while aestheticizing, pathologizing, or commodifying women's.

However, the implications of these findings extend beyond representation. To reframe trauma as political is to shift analytical attention from the spectacle of wounded bodies to the structures that wound them. Gendered violence, racial hierarchies, capitalist commodification, and media economies are not peripheral to aestheticized suffering; they are its enabling conditions. When injury is admired as beauty, circulated as brand identity, or canonized as artistic mystique, its structural origins risk erasure.

A political reframing therefore demands an ethical transformation in spectatorship. Rather than consuming narratives of female devastation as aesthetic experience, criticism must interrogate the systems that determine whose pain is marketable, whose is dismissed as excess, and whose remains ungrievable. This requires recognizing that visibility alone is not liberation; visibility within exploitative frameworks can reproduce the very hierarchies it appears to challenge.

Ultimately, the aestheticization of women's trauma functions as a mechanism of power. It converts lived harm into cultural capital while preserving the conditions that produce harm in the first place. Disrupting this cycle entails resisting the cultural appetite for stylized suffering and reorienting discourse toward accountability, material conditions, and structural critique. Only by unsettling the normalization of "beautiful pain" can representation move from spectacle to solidarity, from consumption to consciousness.

References

- Abdelwahed, N. K. (2025). Beyond pink and plastic: A critical analysis of *Barbie* (2023) through the lens of gender performativity, intersectionality, and diverse cultural perspectives. *The Undergraduate Research Journal*, 10, Article 5. <https://fount.aucegypt.edu/urje/vol10/iss1/5>
- Ankori, G. (2002). *Imaging her selves: Frida Kahlo's poetics of the body*. Greenwood Press.

- Aristotle. (1998). *Poetics* (S. H. Butcher, Trans.). MIT Classics Archive. (Original work ca. 335 BCE) <https://classics.mit.edu/Aristotle/poetics.html>
- Atwood, M. (2005). *The Penelopiad*. Canongate Books.
- Boas, G. (1955). *Heroic themes in tragedy*. Philosophical Library.
- Brontë, C. (1847). *Jane Eyre*. Smith, Elder & Co.
- Burman, E. (2019). Frida Kahlo as a mirror for women's pain. *Feminist Review*, 122(3), 112–130. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0141778919829452>
- Butler, J. (1990). *Gender trouble: Feminism and the subversion of identity*. Routledge.
- Butler, J. (1993). *Bodies that matter: On the discursive limits of sex*. Routledge.
- Butler, J. (2004). *Precarious life: The powers of mourning and violence*. Verso.
- Carson, A. (2012). *Antigonick*. New Directions.
- Caruth, C. (1996). *Unclaimed experience: Trauma, narrative, and history*. Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Christian, B. (1987). "Somebody forgot to tell somebody something": African American women's historical novels. In B. Smith (Ed.), *Wild women in the whirlwind: Afro-American culture and the contemporary literary renaissance* (pp. 326–329). Rutgers University Press.
- Collins, P. H. (2000). *Black feminist thought: Knowledge, consciousness, and the politics of empowerment* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- Crenshaw, K. (1989). Demarginalizing the intersection of race and sex. *University of Chicago Legal Forum*, 1989(1), 139–167.
- Culture Affinity. (2019, October 15). Ted Hughes and the destruction of Sylvia Plath's journals. *Culture Affinity Magazine*. <https://www.cultureaffinity.com/ted-hughes-plath>
- Dickinson, E. (1998). Wild nights – Wild nights! In R. W. Franklin (Ed.), *The poems of Emily Dickinson*. Harvard University Press. (Original work published 1861)
- Eilish, B. (2021). *Happier than ever* [Album]. Darkroom/Interscope Records.
- El Saadawi, N. (1975). *Woman at point zero*. Zed Books.

- Euripides. (1996). *Medea* (D. Taylor, Trans.). (Original work ca. 431 BCE) <https://www.perseus.tufts.edu/hopper/text?doc=Perseus:text:1999.01.0108>
- Galmiche, M., Déchelotte, P., Lamprecht, M., & Loiseau-Pérès, S. (2019). Prevalence of eating disorders over the 2000–2018 period: A systematic literature review. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 109(5), 1402–1413. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/nqy342>
- Gerwig, G. (Director). (2019). *Little women* [Film]. Columbia Pictures.
- Gilbert, S. M., & Gubar, S. (1979). *The madwoman in the attic*. Yale University Press.
- Gill, R., & Orgad, S. (2021). The confidence cult(ure). *Social Media + Society*, 7(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1177/205630512111020320>
- Gilmore, L. (2001). *The limits of autobiography: Trauma and testimony*. Cornell University Press.
- Hemingway, E. (1929). *A farewell to arms*. Scribner.
- Hemingway, E. (1952). *The old man and the sea*. Scribner.
- Holiday, B. (1939). *Strange fruit*. On *Strange fruit* [Single]. Commodore Records.
- hooks, b. (1981). *Ain't I a woman: Black women and feminism*. South End Press.
- hooks, b. (1984). *Feminist theory: From margin to center*. South End Press.
- hooks, b. (1992). *Black looks: Race and representation*. South End Press.
- Juslin, P. N., & Västfjäll, D. (2008). Emotional responses to music. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 31(5), 559–575. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X08005293>
- Kahlo, F. (1932). *Henry Ford Hospital* [Painting]. Museo Dolores Olmedo, Mexico City, Mexico.
- Kahlo, F. (1939). *The two Fridas* [Painting]. Museo de Arte Moderno, Mexico City, Mexico.
- Kahlo, F. (1944). *The broken column* [Painting]. Museo Dolores Olmedo, Mexico City, Mexico.
- Keats, J. (1819). *La Belle Dame sans Merci*. *The Indicator*.

- Kilbourne, J. (Producer). (2010). *Killing us softly* [Video series]. Media Education Foundation.
- Kingston, M. H. (1976). *The woman warrior*. Knopf.
- Koelsch, S. (2014). Brain correlates of music-evoked emotions. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 15(3), 170–180. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3666>
- Lorde, A. (1984). *Sister outsider*. Crossing Press.
- Millais, J. E. (1851–1852). *Ophelia* [Painting]. Tate Britain, London, England.
- Moraga, C., & Anzaldúa, G. (Eds.). (1981). *This bridge called my back*. Persephone Press.
- Morrison, T. (1987). *Beloved*. Knopf.
- Mulvey, L. (1975). Visual pleasure and narrative cinema. *Screen*, 16(3), 6–18. <https://doi.org/10.1093/screen/16.3.6>
- Nash, J. C. (2008). Re-thinking intersectionality. *Feminist Review*, 89(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1057/fr.2008.4>
- Plath, S. (1965). *Ariel*. Harper & Row.
- Poe, E. A. (1846). The philosophy of composition. *Graham's Magazine*, 28(4), 163–167.
- Showalter, E. (1977). *A literature of their own*. Princeton University Press.
- Showalter, E. (1987). *The female malady*. Virago Press.
- Smith, B. (Ed.). (1982). *All the women are white, all the Blacks are men, but some of us are brave*. Feminist Press.
- Sophocles. (1984). *Antigone* (R. Fagles, Trans.). <https://www.perseus.tufts.edu>
- Taylor, S. (2023). Romanticizing melancholy. *Journal of Cultural Studies*, 45(2), 210–228.
- TES. (2022). Survey: Sylvia Plath tops young writers' poets list. *Times Educational Supplement*. <https://www.tes.com>
- Van Duyne, S. (2021). I'd live to eat a Plath sandwich. *Los Angeles Review of Books*. <https://lareviewofbooks.org>
- Winterson, J. (1985). *Oranges are not the only fruit*. Pandora.